

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,437		12/17/2003	Daniel G. Wolfe	3432.2.2.1	8807
21552	7590	06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
MADSON			POPE, DARYL C		
GATEWAY SUITE 900	IOWER	WEST	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE				2612	
SALT LAKE	city,	01 84101	DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/738,437	WOLFE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	DARYL C. POPE	2612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 May 2006.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-71</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement						
	·						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  </li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received	on No					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d · .					
	in the certified copies not received	<b>4.</b>					
	·	•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/3/06;5/15/06</u> .	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/738,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## **ART REJECTION:**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. Claims 1-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kail, IV(Kail) in view of Hoffman et al(Hoffman).
- -- Claims 1-71 are rejected by Kail in view of Hoffman for the reasons of record as discussed in the previous office action. Furthermore, the claimed monitoring the area proximate the portable property is also met by Kail, since Kail teaches that the sensors(28) consist of sensors such as meteorological, seismic, chemical, electromagnetic, etc., thereby implying that the area proximate to the portable propery would have also been monitored, since a meteorological or sesmic sensor would not have been necessary in order to monitor the portable property itself.

### **REMARKS:**

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 5/3/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant is arguing that none of the prior art of record reads on the claimed subject matter because the prior art is primarily directed to monitoring of humans and inanimate objects, and do not teach monitoring surroundings proximate the portable

Art Unit: 2612

property. But as stated in the art rejection above, the examiner deems that the reference to Kail does teach monitoring areas proximate to the portable property.

For example, why would the automatic sensors of Kail(28) include sensors such as meteorological, seismic, motion, etc. sensors if an area proximate the monitored subject were not as well intended to be monitored? Seismic and meteorological sensors could not possibly monitor a subject or inanimate object, and therefore are intended to monitor areas proximate to the portable property in a manner that reads on the claimed subject matter. In view of this, the applicant's argument is not deemed persuasive.

With regards to applicant's traversal of the examiner's rejection under Official Notice, the examiner deems that this traversal is not sufficient to require the examiner to produce documentary evidence to support examiner's official notice. Furthermore, in accordance with the MPEP 2144.03 sec. (C), "to adequately traverse such a finding, an applicant must specifically point out a supposed error in the examiner's action, which would include stating why the noticed fact is not considered to be common knowledge or well known in the art". Furthermore, the applicant appears to be relying on amendments to overcome any rejection and therefore has not demanded evidentiary support for the Official Notice. In the absence of any demand for the examiner to produce authority for his statement, the traversal shall not be considered.

#### Conclusion

4. This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/738,437. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been

Application/Control Number: 10/738,437

Art Unit: 2612

finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DARYL C. POPE whose telephone number is 571-272-2959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 9:00-7:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MIKE HORABIK can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,437

Art Unit: 2612

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daryl C. Pope

June 10, 2006

DARYL C POPE

Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 2612